

## **Green Finance and ESG Governance for Net-Zero Policy Transitions**

Noushin Sorayya, M.Sc. in Agricultural Engineering, Islamic Azad University, Science and Research Branch, Tehran, Iran, Shirin Hosseinipour, Iranian National Institute for Oceanography and Atmospheric Science, Tehran, Iran

### **1. Introduction**

Achieving net-zero greenhouse emissions represents one of the most challenging tasks in modern environmental governance. Escalating climatic risks, increasing global warming trends, and growing environmental disruptions have compelled governments and other economic actors to take more aggressive steps toward decarbonization. In the modern world, green finance and ESG mechanisms can serve as drivers of financial systems' structural changes enabling the transition to low-carbon and climate-resilient economies. Moreover, green finance and ESG serve as climate governance instruments that affect capital flow allocations, corporate behavior, and policy formulation[1] [2]. Making a transition to net-zero emission economies will necessitate radical changes in financial systems where capital flows will be re-oriented from fossil fuel-based sectors toward climate-smart industries. Such a shift will be impossible without integrated regulatory and corporate measures aimed at achieving decarbonization targets[3], [4] .

### **2. Green Finance and Sustainable Capital Mobilization**

Green financing has become one of the key pillars of climate mitigation strategies through financing the transition to renewable energy sources, enhancing energy efficiency, and investing in sustainable infrastructure. Green bonds, sustainability linked loans, and climate investment funds are some of the financial instruments used in raising private capital for environmental purposes. Empirical studies indicate that green bond issuance leads to better environmental outcomes and increased investor trust [5]. Moreover, institutional investors are increasingly integrating climate-related risks into portfolio management strategies, recognizing climate change as a systemic financial risk[6].

The International Energy Agency (IEA, 2023) emphasizes that achieving global net-zero emissions requires a massive increase in clean energy investment, particularly in renewable energy infrastructure and electrification systems. Without sufficient private sector participation, achieving global climate targets will remain unattainable.[7] Moreover, blended finance models, using both public and private money to fund investments in sustainability, are known to be efficient in the case of developing economies[8].

### **3. ESG Governance and Corporate Sustainability Performance**

ESG governance is one key aspect in improving corporate transparency, accountability and environmental stewardship. In fact, ESG governance links environmental performance indicators, corporate social responsibility, and corporate governance frameworks to the process of business decision-making. The study suggests that firms with high ESG performance are correlated with

less carbon emissions, more efficient risk management and more sustained long-term financial gains[9], [10]. Environmental aspect of ESG is an important pillar for bridging firm-level strategies towards net-zero targets such as corporate emission reduction targets and carbon disclosures. Nevertheless, several limitations challenge the implementation of ESG performance systems, especially with regard to the varying ESG rating methodologies and different results of different raters for the same company[11]. The non-uniform reporting metrics for ESG rating systems lead to a degree of uncertainty for the investor and policy-maker to identify high and low ESG performance, and therefore weakening the role of ESG as policy tools. To tackle this issue, international standard setters, such as International Sustainability Standards Board (ISSB, 2023) and Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD, 2017), work towards promoting reporting uniformity, comparability and verifiability of sustainability disclosures. Abundant academic literature on sustainability disclosure indicates that uniform disclosure standards would improve data quality and reduce reporting inconsistency for increasing stakeholders' confidence in reported information[12], [13].

#### **4. Governance and Regulatory Frameworks for Net-Zero Transition**

Governance frameworks help transform green finance and ESG commitments into tangible climate action. Key regulatory instruments include carbon pricing, mandatory disclosures and climate risk regulations, guiding corporate and financial behaviour. Carbon pricing is seen as one of the most powerful ways to internalize externalities of climate change and thus mitigate carbon emissions[14]. by making polluters pay and incentivizing the adoption of cleaner technologies. Financial regulators and central banks are also bringing climate risks into financial stability assessments through methods like climate stress testing[15]. And, standardized climate risk information through TCFD-like frameworks has improved access to, and quality of climate-related disclosure, leading to a more informed market and a more rational decision-making framework for investors.

#### **5. Policy Implications for Net-Zero Transitions**

The interplay of green finance and ESG governance provides several policy implications toward speed-up net-zero transition. First, mandatory ESG disclosure regulation must be strengthened to enable information transparency and narrow down the information gap in financial markets. Similar sustainability reporting frameworks would have to be developed so that the information on firms' sustainability is comparable and reliable; to lower the risk of greenwashing[16], [17]. Second, the application of carbon pricing systems must be expanded and complemented by financial market incentives so that environmental costs and decisions on economy are well-aligned[4]. Third, public-private partnerships should be enhanced to pool sufficient resources from various sources in promoting green investments, especially in developing countries where financial access is still limited[18]. Lastly, the implementation of climate stress testing and scenario analysis for financial institutions as routine business practices is beneficial to better grasp the long-term vulnerability of assets to climate risks.[15].

## **6. Discussion**

It is argued from the literature that green finance and ESG governance will be complementary tools that can achieve net-zero transitions, as the former can be used as a way of allocating funds, whereas the latter can ensure the accountability, transparency and long-term consistency in relation to the net-zero objective[19], [20] .

Notwithstanding the considerable progress that has been made in relation to green finance and ESG governance, many challenges persist, such as the absence of standard metrics for ESG measurements, lack of consistency in ESG data quality, and the phenomenon of greenwashing which continues to deter investors and hamper the impact of sustainability policies[13], [17].

Developing countries also have to confront the constraints of structural obstacles, which are not confined to lack of access to sustainable finance and limited institutional capacities but also include their stronger reliance on carbon intensive industries. Some research studies have identified these constraints can significantly hamper net-zero transitions unless global financial cooperation and tailored policy interventions are in place [3], [6].

The empirical findings of this review also suggest that a concerted cooperation between financial regulators, governments and firms can enhance policy transition for net-zero targets, as it can integrate a coherent framework for the disclosure of climate information, incentive for green investment and risk management of climate risk[15], [16].

## **7. Conclusion**

Green finance and ESG governance have emerged as crucial tools in the global race to net-zero emissions, facilitating a more efficient distribution of resources and increasing the accountability and transparency of capital markets, while also improving corporate governance. However, effective deployment of these tools depends on the implementation of a more coherent and consistent regulatory framework and enhanced mechanisms of enforcement as well as better cooperation between the public and private sector. Further investigation will need to concentrate on measuring the actual impact of ESG policies in curbing the effects of climate change and sustainable development.

## **References:**

- [1] G. Friede, T. Busch, and A. Bassen, “ESG and financial performance: aggregated evidence from more than 2000 empirical studies,” *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 210–233, Oct. 2015, doi: 10.1080/20430795.2015.1118917.
- [2] D. Zhang, M. Mohsin, A. K. Rasheed, Y. Chang, and F. Taghizadeh-Hesary, “Public spending and green economic growth in BRI region: mediating role of green finance,” *Energy policy*, vol. 153, p. 112256, 2021, Accessed: Jun. 10, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0301421521001257>

- [3] E. Campiglio, Y. Dafermos, P. Monnin, J. Ryan-Collins, G. Schotten, and M. Tanaka, “Climate change challenges for central banks and financial regulators,” *Nature climate change*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 462–468, 2018, Accessed: Jun. 10, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41558-018-0175-0>
- [4] N. Stern, “A time for action on climate change and a time for change in economics,” *The Economic Journal*, vol. 132, no. 644, pp. 1259–1289, 2022, Accessed: Jun. 10, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://academic.oup.com/ej/article-abstract/132/644/1259/6519262>
- [5] C. Flammer, “Corporate green bonds,” *Journal of financial economics*, vol. 142, no. 2, pp. 499–516, 2021, Accessed: Jun. 10, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304405X21000337>
- [6] P. Krueger, Z. Sautner, and L. T. Starks, “The importance of climate risks for institutional investors,” *The Review of financial studies*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 1067–1111, 2020, Accessed: Jun. 10, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://academic.oup.com/rfs/article-abstract/33/3/1067/5735302>
- [7] A. IEA, “World energy investment 2023,” International Energy Agency Paris, 2023.
- [8] P. Krueger, Z. Sautner, and L. T. Starks, “The importance of climate risks for institutional investors,” *The Review of financial studies*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 1067–1111, 2020, Accessed: Jun. 10, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://academic.oup.com/rfs/article-abstract/33/3/1067/5735302>
- [9] G. Friede, T. Busch, and A. Bassen, “ESG and financial performance: aggregated evidence from more than 2000 empirical studies,” *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 210–233, Oct. 2015, doi: 10.1080/20430795.2015.1118917.
- [10] R. Saha and S. G. Maji, “Does environmental, social, and governance (ESG) disclosure matter for carbon intensity? Evidence from S&P 500 firms,” *Journal of Environmental Management*, vol. 387, p. 125809, 2025, Accessed: Jun. 10, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0301479725017852>
- [11] F. Berg, J. F. Kölbel, and R. Rigobon, “Aggregate confusion: The divergence of ESG ratings,” *Review of finance*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 1315–1344, 2022, Accessed: Jun. 10, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://academic.oup.com/rof/article-abstract/26/6/1315/6590670>
- [12] “Mandatory CSR and sustainability reporting: economic analysis and literature review | Review of Accounting Studies | Springer Nature Link.” Accessed: Jun. 10, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11142-021-09609-5>
- [13] S. Kotsantonis and G. Serafeim, “Four Things No One Will Tell You About ESG Data,” *J Applied Corp Finance*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 50–58, Jun. 2019, doi: 10.1111/jacf.12346.
- [14] W. D. Nordhaus, “Revisiting the social cost of carbon,” *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, vol. 114, no. 7, pp. 1518–1523, Feb. 2017, doi: 10.1073/pnas.1609244114.
- [15] S. Battiston, A. Mandel, I. Monasterolo, F. Schütze, and G. Visentin, “A climate stress-test of the financial system,” *Nature Climate Change*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 283–288, 2017, Accessed: Jun. 10, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://www.nature.com/articles/nclimate3255>
- [16] H. B. Christensen, L. Hail, and C. Leuz, “Mandatory CSR and sustainability reporting: economic analysis and literature review,” *Rev Account Stud*, vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 1176–1248, Sep. 2021, doi: 10.1007/s11142-021-09609-5.
- [17] F. Berg, J. F. Kölbel, and R. Rigobon, “Aggregate confusion: The divergence of ESG ratings,” *Review of finance*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 1315–1344, 2022, Accessed: Jun. 10, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://academic.oup.com/rof/article-abstract/26/6/1315/6590670>

- [18] “Finance and investment for climate goals,” OECD. Accessed: Jun. 10, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://www.oecd.org/en/topics/finance-and-investment-for-climate-goals.html>
- [19] D. Schoenmaker and W. Schramade, Principles of sustainable finance. Oxford University Press, 2018.
- [20] C. Flammer, “Corporate green bonds,” Journal of financial economics, vol. 142, no. 2, pp. 499–516, 2021, Accessed: Jun. 10, 2026. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304405X21000337>