Sustainable Development Goal 16 (SDG 16) and Urban Management: Challenges and Opportunities for Cities in Promoting Peace, Justice, and Strong Institutions

Hossein Daghighian, Bachelor of Business Management, Karaj worker house university of applied science, Alborz, Karaj

h_daghighian@yahoo.com

Abstract

This paper deals with the crucial but often neglected role that urban management plays in addressing the multitude of issues and opportunities in our cities that directly impact on the realization of Sustainable Development Goal 16 (SDG 16) to ensure peaceful, just, and inclusive societies. With acceleration in the pace of urbanization, cities face rising challenges of social inequality, rising crime, entrenched corruption, and weak governance, and these present serious obstacles to any development towards establishing conditions of peace, justice, and inclusion. It synthetizes various urban management strategies, from participatory governance to law enforcement and the justice system, from effective anti-corruption measures to inclusive economic development, drawing on recent literature. By providing these strategies in detail, the paper aims to produce new ``evidence'' for persuading policymakers and practitioners to act for the construction of urban centres for sustainable and equitable development that will advance SDG 16 targets.

Keywords: Sustainable Development Goal 16 (SDG 16), Urban Management, Governance, Peace, Justice

Introduction:

Cities are increasingly understood to be vital arenas for achieving the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, more analogous to the SDG 16 that commends building peaceful and inclusive societies, ensuring access to justice for all, and developing effective, accountable, and inclusive institutions at all levels [1] Yet, the dynamic speed with which urbanization proceeds worldwide renders the possibility to realize such ambitious targets very challenging. The urban centres are often troubled by problems such as disproportionate inequality, criminality, corruption, and weakness of governance systems that inhibit their capacities to build peaceful, fair, and inclusive spaces[2]Urban management is at the centre of the intense interplay between these very complex processes and establishing the conditions for the flourishing of peace, justice, and sound institutions in cities. Urban management; an inclusive term comprising the entire spectrum of policies such as participatory governance, law-enforcement agency strengthening, availability and efficacy of the justice system, measures against corruption, and including economic development-making sure that everything is working together [3]. An integrated approach, one that allows cities to boost social co-existence, decrease crime, generate more public trust, and produce greater economic development [4].

Recent yet encouraging research reinforces urban planning and development framework essentialization. A study published in Nature Sustainability elucidates that cities with governance inclusivity and participatory decision-making processes are more likely to perform well on SDG 16 targets [5]. Another research in The Journal of Urban Affairs underscored the dire necessity for cities across the globe to invest in the enhancement of their legal frameworks and the justice system to ensure equal justice access for all [6]. Taken together, these findings convey an even more urgent message regarding the need for an integrated approach to urban management weaving through various aspects: social, economic, and environmental, as a prerequisite for a sustainable and inclusive urban development. Though there is now awareness of the significance of SDG 16 regarding urban areas, many cities face enormous challenges on the path to attaining SDG goals. These challenges include but are not limited to a lack of finances, unwillingness of leaders to act, weak capacity of institutions, and rampant corruption [7]. To overcome these barriers requires the engagement and collaboration of all decision-makers: policymakers. urban

planners. members of civil society. and the private sector, working together to roll out innovative and sustainable solutions. The paper sets out to scrutinize the challenges and opportunities that cities are confronted with in promoting peace, justice, and strong institution according to SDG 16. The article intends to provide recent literature and case studies into practical proposals for urban management tackling the various SDG 16 targets. By offering insight into the complexity of urban government and emphasizing its capacity for constructive change, the article aims to inform policy and practice with knowledge and motivate them towards peaceful, equitable, and inclusive cities.

2. Enhancing Urban Governance for Sustainable Development Goal 16 (SDG 16): A Multifaceted Strategy

Good urban governance is not only seen today as an administrative action but also as a corner on which Sustainable Development Goal 16 (SDG 16), or having peaceful, just, and inclusive societies [8], can be attained. Good urban governance is more than the minimum of service delivery and includes measures in open, accountable, and participatory city management to allow all citizens to exercise their rights and participate in their communities. This approach demands the creation of an environment conducive to citizen engagement, optimized use of resources, and respect for the rule of law [9]. Urban governance improvement initiatives are cross-cutting and multi-layered. Open data initiatives must be guaranteed in ensuring transparency, government information made available to the public, the development of public trust, and citizen participation [10]. Anti-corruption is bolstered with whistleblower measures, autonomous audits, and codes of conduct in order to promote institutional integrity and avoid corruption, ensuring effective use of public funds. Participatory budgeting gives the people direct power to determine the distribution of city budgets, leading to fair allocation of resources and community-priority-based outcomes addressing local issues [11]. Fostering governance is also a matter of enhancing the institutional capacity with capacity-building and investment in the digital infrastructure in order to facilitate the delivery of services and enhance administrative efficiency [12]Deployment of technology through e-governance platforms not only facilitates simplification of administration but also maximizes participation by means of feedback and information on a real-time basis [13]Integration of gender-sensitive policy for empowering women in urban planning

and governance makes the results more equitable and inclusive, with city development taking into account the needs of all city citizens [14]]

In addition, incorporation of the sustainable development principles in urban planning ensures long-term sustainable social and environmental well-being, resulting in sustainable cities that will be strong enough to cope with future challenges [15] Encouraging intergovernmental and stakeholders' collaboration provides policy coordination and ensures improved and integrated way of urban management [16]. Utilization of performance-based systems of management provides increased accountability and efficiency in delivery of urban services, ensuring efficient service delivery and addressing the citizens' needs [17]. Lastly, creating community-based programs strengthens local government, social unity, and the capacity of the residents to assert their communities, leading to more vibrant and robust communities [18].

Through such steps, cities can easily respond to governance issues and make it easier to achieve SDG 16 objectives. Urban governance must be consolidated as a continuous process that necessitates policymakers' engagement, stakeholder coordination, and active citizenship participation to construct more equitable, inclusive, and peaceful cities [19].

3. Case Studies of Cities Advancing SDG 16: Innovative Urban Management Initiatives

Examining specific examples of urban management initiatives contributes to achieving Sustainable Development Goal 16 (SDG 16), which provides valuable insights into practical strategies for promoting peace, justice, and strong institutions [20]. While a universal approach is elusive, several cities have demonstrated innovative and effective methods for advancing SDG 16 targets.

Lebanon's capital Beirut faced mass protests against state governance, highlighting the need for design in involving communities and comprehending everyday experiences to bridge the gap between disciplines and policy approaches to preventing conflict in the promotion of peaceful and just societies [21]

A good example is the initiative of civil society groups like the Bangladesh NGOs Network for Radio and Communication (BNNRC). They implemented a fellowship program to reduce social discrimination and increase youth participation in marginalized communities, teaching journalism and community radio broadcasting skills. This program empowered marginalized

groups, particularly women, to become active participants in their communities, exercising their rights and influencing local power structures [22]

The Somaliland SDG16+ Coalition offers another compelling case study, demonstrating how civil society can drive SDG16+ localization by generating buy-in across civil society and national and local government. Through extensive consultations and the development of a baseline report, the coalition translated SDG16+ commitments into action, tracking progress, and incentivizing ongoing efforts. This approach has fostered civil society inclusion in SDG16+ efforts nationally and locally, using civil society evidence with official data [23]

In Uganda, World Vision's approach to social accountability, which combines scorecards, social audits, and interface meetings, has been implemented to improve health and education outcomes. While direct, peer-reviewed evidence linking World Vision's specific program to quantifiable improvements is limited, research on similar community-based monitoring initiatives in Uganda has demonstrated positive impacts on service delivery[24].These case studies illustrate the diverse range of approaches that cities and civil society organizations can adopt to advance SDG 16, highlighting the importance of community engagement, participatory governance, and transparent resource management in creating more peaceful, just, and inclusive urban environments.

Conclusion

Lastly, achieving Sustainable Development Goal 16 (SDG 16) in the cities is a complicated but important undertaking that calls for an integrated, comprehensive, and sustainable urban planning strategy. The present study emphasizes the necessity of several interdependent strategies toward building peaceful, inclusive, and just city societies.

Firstly, social cohesion building is a starting point, which can be done by creating community-based initiatives that build trust, encourage dialogue, and tackle the underlying causes of social cleavages; ensuring equal access to resources, services, and opportunities for everyone residing in an area, regardless of their socio-economic status, ethnic group, or gender, is necessary, and the promotion of a culture of respect for human rights as well as guaranteeing inclusiveness in urban governing bodies are preconditions to encompass cohesive communities. Second, institution building is most essential to make governance accountable and effective, i.e.,

establishment of transparency in decision-making, having strong mechanisms for citizen monitoring, and fighting against corruption at all levels; capacity building of local government administrators through investment, administrative decentralization, and interagency cooperation with civil society organizations are vital to increase the effectiveness of institutions, and performance-based systems of management, ethical behaviour, and the rule of law are essential to establish public trust and a culture of accountability. Third, participatory governance has to be put in place to create stronger local communities and to make them brought into decision-making, including through establishing lines of effective citizen participation, fostering participatory budgeting, and ensuring monitoring and evaluation mechanisms from the community perspective; making it possible for marginalized groups' interests to become stakeholders in urban development and planning processes so that their specific needs and interests are addressed, is significant in creating inclusive governance.

To tackle the world's challenges of social inequality, corruption, and weak systems of governance that constrain the realization of SDG 16, cities should prioritize fair distribution of resources, adhere to high ethical standards, and provide access to justice for all citizens; mainstreaming SDG 16 into urban development planning, interagency cooperation among government, civil society organizations, and the private sector, and empowering local citizens in order to attain transformative change are key to guaranteeing sustainable urban development, and the realization of SDG 16 in cities demands concerted action and persistent cooperation by all concerned actors, including policymakers, urban planners, civil society organizations, and the private sector, to cooperate in the achievement of building cities that promote peace, justice, and good institutions, and thereby a better and more equitable world for all city inhabitants; the problem is not motivational but an imperative to achieve wider sustainable development objectives and attain a world in which all can live with dignity and security.

References:

[1] E. L. Moreno و J. Clos, *Urbanization and development: Emerging futures: world cities report 2016*. UN, 2016.

[2] J. Monstadt, J. C. L. Torrens, M. Jain, R. M. Macrorie, و S. R. Smith, "Rethinking the governance of urban infrastructural transformations: A synthesis of emerging approaches," *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 2022 ,101157.

[3] S. Graham و S. Marvin, *Splintering urbanism: networked infrastructures, technological mobilities and the urban condition*. Routledge, 2002.

[4] C. Osborne, C. Baldwin, و D. Thomsen, "Contributions of Social Capital to Best Practice Urban Planning Outcomes," *Urban Policy and Research*, Vol. 34, No. 3, pp. 212–224, July 2016, Doi: 10.1080/08111146.2015.1062361.

[5] V. C. Broto و H. Bulkeley, "A survey of urban climate change experiments in 100 cities," *Global environmental change*, Vol. 23, No. 1, pp. 92–1022013 ,.

[6] R. A. Sibeon, "Rethinking social theory," 2004,

[7] E. L. Moreno و J. Clos, Urbanization and development: Emerging futures: world cities report 2016. UN, 2016

[8] B. X. Lee et al., "Transforming Our World: Implementing the 2030 Agenda Through Sustainable Development Goal Indicators," *J Public Health Pol, Vol. 37, No. S1, pp. 13–31, September 2016, doi: 10.1057/s41271-016-0002-7.

[9] H. Abniki & M. J. Mousanejad, "Good Governance and Urban Management," 2011

[10] R. Biswas, A. Jana, K. Arya, & K. Ramamritham, "A good-governance framework for urban management," Journal of Urban Management, 2019.

[11] B. Wampler, S. McNulty, S. L. McNulty, و M. Touchton, Participatory budgeting in global perspective. Oxford University Press, 2021

[12] F. Hendriks, "Understanding Good Urban Governance: Essentials, Shifts, and Values," Urban Affairs Review, 2014.

[13] A. Meijer & M. P. R. Bolívar, "Governing the smart city: a review of the literature on smart urban governance," International Review of Administrative Sciences, 2016.

[14] C. Paris, "GLOBAL GOVERNANCE AND LOCAL REALITIES: THE IMPACT OF UN INITIATIVES ON SPATIAL GOVERNANCE AND PLANNING IN AFRICA-AN ETHIOPIAN CASE STUDY," PhD Thesis, Politecnico di Torino, 2024

[15] A. Hosseini, A. Pourahmad, & M. Pajoohan, "Assessment of Institutions in Sustainable Urban-Management Effects on Sustainable Development of Tehran: Learning from a Developing Country," J. Urban Plann. Dev., 2016.   .

[16] A. Mohammadzadeh, A. Pourezzat, A. Rezayan Ghayehbashi, و A. Pirannejad, "Imaginary of Citizen Participation with the Aim of Improving Urban Governance (Case Study: Tehran)

[17] A. Meijer & M. P. R. Bolívar, "Governing the smart city: a review of the literature on smart urban governance," International Review of Administrative Sciences, Vol. 82, No. 2, pp. 392–408, June 2016, doi: 10.1177/0020852314564308   .

[18]   C. Osborne, C. Baldwin, & D. Thomsen, "Contributions of Social Capital to Best Practice Urban Planning Outcomes," Urban Policy and Research, Vol. 34, No. 3, pp. 212–224, July 2016, doi: 10.1080/08111146.2015.1062361.

[19] B. X. Lee et al., "Transforming Our World: Implementing the 2030 Agenda Through Sustainable Development Goal Indicators," J Public Health Pol, Vol. 37, No. S1, pp. 13–31, September 2016, doi: 10.1057/s41271-016-0002-7.

[20] U. ESCAP, "SDG 16: Peace, justice and strong institutions: promote peaceful and inclusive societies for sustainable development, provide access to justice for all and build effective, accountable and inclusive institutions at all levels," 2019, Accessed: March 9, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://repository.unescap.org/handle/20.500.12870/4617.

[21] G. Selim و B. Milton-Edwards, "Case study: peace, justice and strong institutions (SDG16)," *Design for Global Challenges and Goals*, 2021.

[22] CASE STUDIES," SDG16+ Civil Society Toolkit, Accessed: March 9, 2025. [Online]. Available: https://www.sdg16toolkit.org/case-studies/

[23] F. Biermann, N. Kanie, & R. E. Kim, "Global governance by goal-setting: the novel approach of the UN Sustainable Development Goals," Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 2017

[24] M. Björkman و J. Svensson, "Power to the people: evidence from a randomized field experiment on community-based monitoring in Uganda," The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 2009.